

A New Mechanism for Metal-Catalyzed Stannane Dehydrocoupling Based on α -H-Elimination in a Hafnium Hydrostannyl Complex

Nathan R. Neale and T. Don Tilley*

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720-1460

Received November 8, 2001

The transition metal-catalyzed dehydropolymerization of secondary stannanes by zirconocene catalysts provided the first examples of high-molecular weight polystannanes,¹ which exhibit interesting optical and electronic properties.² Further applications of dehydrocoupling methodologies in tin chemistry should be facilitated by knowledge of the mechanism by which the metal center mediates Sn-Sn bond formation. A possible mechanism for the d⁰ metalcatalyzed dehydrocoupling of hydrostannanes involves σ -bond metathesis steps operating via concerted, four-center transition states, as described for the analogous dehydrocoupling of hydrosilanes.³ Our efforts to probe this question have previously been thwarted by unsuccessful attempts to isolate examples of the presumed σ -bond metathesis intermediate, a d⁰ metal hydrostannyl (M-SnHR₂) derivative. Furthermore, the low Sn-H bond strength suggests the possible operation of dehyrocoupling mechanisms involving tin-centered radicals.⁴ Here we describe a metal-catalyzed dehydrocoupling of Mes_2SnH_2 to $Mes_2HSnSnHMes_2$ (Mes = 2,4,6trimethylphenyl), for which an intermediate d⁰ metal hydrostannyl complex has been isolated and studied. The dehydrocoupling in this system appears to occur by elimination of :SnMes₂ from Cp-Cp*Hf(SnHMes₂)Cl (Cp* = η^5 -C₅Me₅), with Sn–Sn bond formation proceeding via insertion of the stannylene into a Sn-H bond.

The reaction of CpCp*Hf(H)Cl^{3a} (1) with Mes₂SnH₂ (2) resulted in the elimination of hydrogen and the formation of the hydrostannyl complex CpCp*Hf(SnHMes₂)Cl (3) (benzene- d_6 , 10 °C, in the dark, by ¹H NMR spectroscopy). After 2 h the concentration of **3** reached a maximum (64% of Hf species present), and after complete conversion of the stannane **2** (12 h) the products remaining were **3** (59%) and the distannane Mes₂HSnSnHMes₂ (**4**, 31%). The presence of a significant amount of **1** (26%) at this point suggested that this complex might be a catalyst for the dehydrocoupling of **2** to **4**, and this was confirmed by observation of this conversion (in 98% yield) over 24 h with a catalytic amount of **1** (4 mol %, benzene- d_6 , dark, 25 °C). Further investigation (vida infra) suggested that **3** is an intermediate in the catalysis, as indicated by the mechanism of Scheme 1.

The hafnium hydrostannyl complex **3** was isolated as thermochromic crystals (yellow ≤ 10 °C; orange ≥ 10 °C) in 64% yield from the stoichiometric reaction between **1** and **2** (1.5 h, 10 °C, dark, benzene). The molecular structure of **3** (Scheme 2) is characterized by a Hf–Sn bond length of 3.0073(6) Å. For comparison, the other d⁰ group 4 M–Sn bond lengths to be reported are 2.843(1) Å for Cp₂Ti(SnPh₃)Cl,⁵ and 3.0231(2) (M = Zr) and 2.9956(3) Å (M = Hf) for {MeSi[SiMe₂N(4-CH₃C₆H₄)]₃SnMCp₂-Cl.⁶ There is no apparent interaction between the Hf center and the Sn–H bond of **3** (the Sn–H hydrogen was located in the difference Fourier map). As expected,⁷ the ¹J_{117/119SnH} coupling constants (918, 961 Hz) are greatly reduced from the corresponding



values for the free stannane (1765, 1864 Hz), as is the ν_{SnH} stretching frequency (1728 cm⁻¹; 1864 cm⁻¹ for 2).

The dehydrogenative condensation of 1 and 2 is reversible, as indicated by the addition of H_2 (1 atm) to a solution of isolated 3, which resulted in the conversion of 3 to 1 (92%) and 4 (21%) over 2 days. The expected stannane 2 was observed only in trace amounts during the reaction, since it is converted to 4 under these conditions. Thus, complex 3 appears to possess an unusually reactive metal—tin bond, as zirconium and hafnium stannyl derivatives that have been studied previously do not undergo hydrogenolysis.⁸

To probe the Hf–Sn bond-forming process of Scheme 1, the kinetics of the reaction between 1 and 2 were studied at 9.3 °C (benzene- d_6) under pseudo-first-order conditions of excess stannane. Despite the reversibility of this reaction, well-behaved kinetics were observed for ca. 75% conversion of 1 in the presence of excess 2. The dependence of the rates on the concentration of 2 established a second-order rate law, rate = k[1][2], with $k(9.3 \text{ °C}) = 6.4 (2) \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The activation parameters determined by an Eyring analysis (over the temperature range 0.7-33.2 °C), $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 7.4 (3)$ kcal mol⁻¹ and $\Delta S^{\ddagger} = -42 (1)$ eu, are consistent with a concerted σ -bond metathesis process in which severe restriction of the transition state.

A further probe of the mechanism involved isotopic labeling studies with deuterium. However, the kinetic isotope effect measured for the reaction of the deuterated stannane $2-d_2$ with 1, $k_{HH}/k_{HD} = 1.2$ (1), is much lower than what might be expected for a concerted transition state **A** (Scheme 2).⁹ Deuteration at hafnium $(1-d_1)$ results in a small, inverse isotope effect, $k_{HH}/k_{DH} = 0.89$ (3),

^{*} To whom correspondence may be sent. E-mail : tdtilley@socrates.berkeley.edu.

and deuteration at both Hf and Sn results in a cancellation of the previous effects: $k_{\text{HH}}/k_{\text{DD}} = 1.0$ (1). These results may be explained by a mechanism involving rate-determining coordination of the Sn-H bond of the stannane to the Hf center of **1** to form a σ -complex (Scheme 2). Cleavage of the Sn-H bond would then occur in a subsequent, relatively rapid step involving the 4-center transition state **A**. In our view, the inverse isotope effect is best explained as a secondary effect resulting from loss of the normal bending modes for the Hf-H(D) bond in the sterically crowded Sn-H σ -complex.¹⁰ The kinetic behavior described above has not been previously observed for σ -bond metathesis processes, but it appears to reflect the highly crowded nature of the reactants **1** and **2**.

Given the sterically encumbered natures of 2 and 3, it seemed unlikely that they could react in a concerted manner to produce the distannane. Attempts to study the kinetics of this process were complicated by the relatively rapid decomposition of 3 in solution. Interestingly, the thermal decomposition of 3 (toluene- d_8 , in the dark) cleanly produced the hydride 1 (20% after 10 min), and over the course of 3 days a temperature-dependent equilibrium was established. At room temperature, the 3/1 ratio was 1:10, while at -30 °C complex **3** was favored by a ratio of 2:1. Thus, it appears that **3** decomposes via the elimination of dimesitylstannylene; however, ¹H NMR spectra of the reaction mixture suggested the presence of a number of mesityl-containing products. To facilitate their identification, the concentration of tin species in solution was increased by adding a significant amount of 2 to 3, and analysis of this reaction mixture (13 equiv 2, benzene- d_6 , dark, 25 °C) by ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectroscopy revealed the presence of three tin species (δ -348.7, -316.8, -225.7). By comparison to known values for other $(R_2Sn)_n$ compounds,^{1b,11} we attribute these shifts to the cyclics $(Mes_2Sn)_n$, with n = 3, 4, and 5. In addition, a FAB-MS analysis of this solution gave ions corresponding to the n = 3 and 4 cyclics; fragmentation of (Mes₂Sn)₅ likely prevented its detection by mass spectrometry. It therefore appears that complex 3 exists in equilibrium with 1 and a mixture of cyclic species derived from the oligomerization of :SnMes₂ (eq 1).

CpCp*Hf(SnHMes₂)Cl
$$\rightarrow 1$$
 Mes₂Sn $\rightarrow 1/n$ (Mes₂Sn)_n (1)
3 $n = 3, 4, 5$

Further evidence in support of the α -H-elimination of stannylene from **3** was obtained by a trapping experiment in which **3** was allowed to decompose in the presence of the trapping agent 2,3dimethylbutadiene (23 equiv), which resulted in a 92% yield (by ¹H NMR spectroscopy) of the stannylene-trapped product 1,1dimesityl-3,4-dimethylstannacyclopent-3-ene (**5**). An attempt to measure the rate of this α -H-elimination using the highly efficient stannylene trap 1,2-bis(methylene)cylcopentane¹² indicated that the first-order rate constant was $2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (200 equiv, -25 °C, toluene- d_8). However, doubling the amount of the diene from 200 to 400 equiv led to an increase of the apparent rate constant by a factor of 1.7. The lack of an efficient trap for :SnMes₂ has prevented us from directly probing the kinetics of α -elimination, although it seems clear that this process is quite rapid.

Insight into the mechanism for Sn–Sn bond formation was derived from the reaction of **3** with the dideuteriostannane Mes₂-SnD₂ (**2**- d_2 , 1.0 equiv) in benzene- d_6 . At room temperature, **2**- d_2 was completely consumed in less than 100 min and was converted to the dideuteriodistannane Mes₂DSnSnDMes₂ (**4**- d_2) and the monodeuteriodistannane Mes₂HSnSnDMes₂ (**4**- d_1) in 67 and 6% yields, respectively (the remaining tin species were **3** (8%) and (Mes₂Sn)_n (19%)). However, when **4** was mixed with **2**- d_2 (1.2 equiv), **4**- d_1 (21%), **2**- d_1 (39%), and **2** (13%) were formed over the

course of 11 days (benzene- d_6 , by ¹H NMR spectroscopy), suggesting that this distannane may also exist in equilibrium with **2** and :SnMes₂. This elimination and reinsertion of stannylene has previously been observed for distannanes.¹³ Thus, the observed **4**- d_1 was most likely formed from scrambling via the equilibria of Scheme 2. These data lead us to believe that Sn–Sn bond formation results from stannylene insertion into the Sn–H bond of the stannane (Scheme 2). Similar stannylene insertions have been proposed for related Sn–Sn bond-formations,¹⁴ including the stepwise synthesis of linear chains up to 15 Sn atoms in length.^{14f}

In summary, isolation of a reactive d⁰ metal hydrostannyl complex has allowed mechanistic studies on a metal-catalyzed Sn–Sn bond formation which features an α -H-elimination process. This elimination produces the free stannylene :SnMes₂, which appears to insert into a Sn–H bond to produce the distannane. Interestingly, the experimental data may be interpreted as evidence for coordination of a σ bond prior to a σ -bond metathesis step. Future efforts will focus on establishing whether this mechanism may be operative in metal-catalyzed dehydropolymerizations of secondary stannanes to polystannanes. In addition, we have begun to explore α -elimination as a general decomposition mode for compounds containing d⁰ metal-main group element bonds.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to National Science Foundation for their generous support of this work, and to R. G. Bergman and D. A. Singleton for valuable discussions.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details of the synthesis of compounds **2**, **3**, **4**, and **5**, kinetic measurements, tables and plots of kinetic data, and tables of crystal, data collection, and refinement parameters, bond distances and angles, and anisotropic displacement parameters for **3** (PDF and CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (a) Imori, T.; Tilley, T. D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 1607.
 (b) Imori, T.; Lu, V.; Hui, C.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 9931.
 (c) Lu, V.; Tilley, T. D. Macromolecules 1996, 29, 5763.
- (2) (a) Pitt, C. G. In Homoaromatic Rings, Chains and Macromolecules of Main-Group Elements; Rheingold, A. L., Ed.; Elsevier Scientific: New York, 1977; Chapter 8. (b) Takeda, K.; Shiraishi, K. Chem. Phys. Lett. **1992**, 195, 121. (c) Braunstein, P.; Morise, X. Chem. Rev. **2000**, 100, 3541 and references therein.
- (3) (a) Woo, H.-G.; Walzer, J. F.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7047. (b) Tilley, T. D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 22. (c) Imori, T.; Tilley, T. D. Polyhedron 1994, 13, 2231.
- (4) (a) Davies, A. G.; Osei-Kissi, D. K. J. Organomet. Chem. 1994, 474, C8.
 (b) Davies, A. G.; Kinart, W. J.; Osei-Kissi, D. K. J. Organomet. Chem. 1994, 474, C11. (c) Dioumaev, V. K.; Harrod, J. F. Organometallics 1997, 16, 2798.
- (5) Zheng, W.; Stephan, D. W. Inorg. Chem. 1988, 27, 2386.
- (6) Lutz, M.; Findeis, B.; Haukka, M.; Pakkanen, T. A.; Gade, L. H. Organometallics 2001, 20, 2505.
- (7) Roddick, D. M.; Heyn, R. H.; Tilley, T. D. Organometallics 1989, 8, 324.
 (8) Woo, H.-G.; Freeman, W. P.; Tilley, T. D. Organometallics 1992, 11, 2198.
- (9) (a) Fendrick, C. M.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 425. (b) Thompson, M. E.; Baxter, S. M.; Bulls, A. R.; Burger, B. J.; Nolan, M. C.; Santarsiero, B. D.; Schaefer, W. P.; Bercaw, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 203. (c) Woo, H.-G.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 3757. (d) Woo, H.-G.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8043. (e) Tilley, T. D. Comments Inorg. Chem. 1990, 10, 37. (f) Woo, H.-G.; Heyn, R. H.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 5698.
- (10) Streitwieser, A., Jr.; Jagow, R. H.; Fahey, R. C.; Suzuki, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1958**, 80, 2326.
- (11) (a) Masamune, S.; Sita, L. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 630. (b) Masamune, S.; Sita, L. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6390.
- (12) Neumann, W. P. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 311. For synthesis see: Le, N. A.; Jones, M., Jr.; Bickelhaupt, F.; de Wolf, W. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8491. Store at low temperature to prevent polymerization.
- (13) Scherping, K.-H.; Neumann, W. P. Organometallics 1982, 1, 1027.
 (14) (a) Neumann, W. P.; Schwarz, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1975, 14, 812. (b) Arnold, D. P.; Cuthbertson, M. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 184, 39. (c) Cuthbertson, M. J.; Wells, P. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 216, 331. (d) Dewar, M. J. S.; Friedheim, J. E.; Grady, G. L. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1784. (e) Watta, B.; Neumann, W. P.; Sauer, J. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1954. (f) Sita, L. R.; Terry, K. W.; Kazusato, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 8049.
 JA017495S